REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration of this Application and entry of this Amendment after Final are respectfully requested. The proposed amendment places the claims in better form for appeal. Additionally, this amendment addresses items brought up by the Examiner in the Final Office Action. Claims 1, 3-6, and 8-27 are pending in the present application. Claims 1, 5, 6, 10, 11, 18, and 22 have been amended and claims 26 and 27 cancelled herein. In the Office Action mailed July 16, 2007, the Examiner rejected pending claims 1, 3-6, and 8-27 on various grounds. In view of the following remarks, favorable consideration and allowance of the application is respectfully requested.

35 U.S.C. §102 Rejections

A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference. *Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California*, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987). The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the . . . claim. *Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.*, 868 F.2d 1226, 1236, 9 USPQ2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989). Thus, to warrant the §102 rejection, the references cited by the Examiner must show each and every limitation of the claims in complete detail. The Applicant respectfully asserts that the cited references fail to do so.

A. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-10, 26, and 27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 6,616,765 to Castro, *et al.* (the *Castro* patent).

The Applicant respectfully asserts that the *Castro* patent fails to teach or suggest all the claim limitations.

The *Castro* patent fails to disclose, teach, or suggest:

a stent delivery system including a stent having a plurality of cylindrical stent segments, the stent having a first region <u>continuous</u> across at least one pair of adjacent cylindrical stent segments and a second region <u>continuous</u> across at least one pair of adjacent cylindrical stent segments; a first coating section, the first coating section

disposed on and <u>completely covering</u> the outer surface of the adjacent cylindrical stent segments in the first region and comprising a first polymer; and a second coating section, the second coating section disposed on and <u>completely covering</u> the outer surface of the adjacent cylindrical stent segments in the second region and comprising a second polymer; wherein the first region and the second region are <u>discrete</u>, and the first coating section and the second coating section are <u>discrete</u>, as recited in amended independent claim 1; or

a coated stent including a stent having a plurality of cylindrical stent segments, the stent having a first region continuous across at least one pair of the adjacent cylindrical stent segments and a second region continuous across at least one pair of the adjacent cylindrical stent segments; a first coating section, the first coating section disposed on and completely covering the outer surface of the adjacent cylindrical stent segments in the first region and comprising a first polymer; and a second coating section, the second coating section disposed on and completely covering the outer surface of the adjacent cylindrical stent segments in the second region and comprising a second polymer; wherein the first region and the second region are discrete, and the first coating section and the second coating section are discrete, as recited in amended independent claim 6.

The *Castro* patent discloses that a composition 10 is deposited in <u>a preselected</u> geometrical pattern on prosthesis 12. *See* column 14, lines 65-67; Figures 13A-13H. A second composition 80 can be deposited onto prosthesis 12. *See* column 17, line 61 through column 18, line 32. The *Castro* patent fails to disclose a first and a second region each continuous across at least one pair of adjacent cylindrical stent segments, a first and a second coating section each completely covering the outer surface of adjacent cylindrical stent segments in their respective regions, where the regions and coating sections are discrete, as claimed.

Claims 3-5 and claims 8-10 depend directly or indirectly from independent claims 1 and 6, respectively, and so include all the elements and limitations of their respective independent claims. The Applicant therefore submits that the dependent claims are allowable

over the *Castro* patent for at least the same reasons as set forth above with respect to their independent claims.

Regarding claims 5 and 10, the Applicant respectfully asserts that Figure 13F of the *Castro* patent fails to disclose a spotted pattern as defined by Figure 4 and paragraph [0030] of the present Application.

Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1, 3-6, and 8-10 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by the *Castro* patent is respectfully requested.

35 U.S.C. §103 Rejections

To establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met. First, there must be some suggestion or motivation to modify the reference or to combine reference teachings. Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the prior art references when combined must teach or suggest all the claim limitations. *See* MPEP 2143. To establish *prima facie* obviousness of a claimed invention, all the claim limitations must be taught or suggested by the prior art. *In re Royka*, 490 F.2d 981, 180 USPQ 580 (CCPA 1974). *See* MPEP 2143.03.

B. Claims 11-25 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the *Castro* patent in view of U.S. Pat. No. 5,292,331 to Boneau (the *Boneau* patent).

The Applicant respectfully asserts that the *Castro* patent in view of the *Boneau* patent fails to teach or suggest all the claim limitations.

The Castro patent in view of the Boneau patent fails to disclose, teach, or suggest:

a method for producing a coated stent including providing a stent having a plurality of cylindrical stent segments, the stent having a first region continuous across at least one pair of adjacent cylindrical stent segments and a second region continuous across at least one pair of adjacent cylindrical stent segments; mixing a first polymer and first therapeutic agent with a first solvent to form a first polymer solution; applying the first polymer solution to the first region to form a first coating section completely covering the outer surface of the adjacent cylindrical stent segments in the first region;

Expedited Processing Application No. 10/531,822 Amd. Dated: September 11, 2007 Reply to Final Office Action mailed July 16, 2007

mixing a second polymer and second therapeutic agent with a second solvent to form a second polymer solution; and applying the second polymer solution to the second region to form a second coating section completely covering the outer surface of the adjacent cylindrical stent segments in the second region, wherein the first coating section and the second coating section are <u>discrete</u>, and the first region has a longitudinal length greater than the diameter of the stent in an expanded state, as recited in amended independent claim 11;

a system for producing a coated stent including means for providing a stent having a plurality of cylindrical stent segments, the stent having a first region continuous across at least one pair of the adjacent cylindrical stent segments and a second region continuous across at least one pair of the adjacent cylindrical stent segments; means for mixing a first polymer and first therapeutic agent with a first solvent to form a first polymer solution; means for applying the first polymer solution to the first region to form a first coating section completely covering the outer surface of the adjacent cylindrical stent segments in the first region; and means for mixing a second polymer and second therapeutic agent with a second solvent to form a second polymer solution; and means for applying the second polymer solution to the second region to form a second coating section completely covering the outer surface of the adjacent cylindrical stent segments in the second region, wherein the first coating section and the second coating section are discrete, and the first region has a longitudinal length greater than the diameter of the stent in an expanded state, as recited in amended independent claim 18; or

a coated stent including a stent having a plurality of cylindrical stent segments, the stent having a <u>discrete</u> first region <u>continuous</u> across at least one pair of the adjacent cylindrical stent segments and a <u>discrete</u> second region <u>continuous</u> across at least one pair of the adjacent cylindrical stent segments; a first polymer including a first therapeutic agent, the first polymer disposed on and <u>completely covering</u> the outer surface of the adjacent cylindrical stent segments in the discrete first region as a first coating section; and a second polymer including a second therapeutic agent, the second polymer disposed on and <u>completely covering</u> the outer surface of the adjacent cylindrical stent segments in the discrete second region as a second coating section, wherein the first coating section and the second coating section are <u>discrete</u>, and the discrete first region has a longitudinal

length greater than the diameter of the stent in an expanded state, as recited in amended independent claim 22.

The *Castro* patent discloses that a composition 10 is deposited in a preselected geometrical pattern on prosthesis 12. *See* column 14, lines 65-67; Figures 13A-13H. A second composition 80 can be deposited onto prosthesis 12. *See* column 17, line 61 through column 18, line 32. The *Castro* patent fails to disclose a first and a second region each continuous across at least one pair of adjacent cylindrical stent segments, a first and a second coating section each completely covering the outer surface of adjacent cylindrical stent segments in their respective regions, where the regions and coating sections are discrete, as claimed. The *Boneau* patent also fails to disclose these elements.

Claims 12-17; claims 19-21; and claims 23-25 depend directly or indirectly from independent claims 11, 18, and 22, respectively, and so include all the elements and limitations of their respective independent claims. The Applicant therefore submits that the dependent claims are allowable over the *Castro* patent in view of the *Boneau* patent for at least the same reasons as set forth above with respect to their independent claims.

Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 11-25 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the *Castro* patent in view of the *Boneau* patent is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, Applicant believes all the pending claims are in condition for allowance and should be passed to issue. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required under 37 C.F.R. 1.17, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 01-2525. If the Examiner feels that a telephone conference would in any way expedite the prosecution of the application, please do not hesitate to call the undersigned at telephone (707) 543-5021.

Respectfully submitted,

/Alan M. Krubiner, Reg. No. 26,289/ Alan M. Krubiner Registration No. 26,289 Attorney for Applicant

Medtronic Vascular, Inc. 3576 Unocal Place Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Facsimile No.: (707) 543-5420